

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/802,661	03/09/2001	Victor Keith Blanco	MS1-770US	7533	
22801	7590 05/09/2005		EXAMINER		
LEE & HAY		NGUYEN, KIM T			
SPOKANE,	RSIDE AVENUE S WA 99201	11E 500	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3713		

DATE MAILED: 05/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				(/)				
		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/802,661		BLANCO, VICTOR KEITH				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Kim Nguye	en .	3713				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to treply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no eve ply within the statu d will apply and will ute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be time tory minimum of thirty (30) day: expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21,	April 2005.						
•—		is action is no	on-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except f	or formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 and 45-51 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 and 45-51 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	rawn from cor						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10) 🗌	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•			-			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Buresee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have beer nts have beer iority docume au (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 r No(s)/Mail Date	18)	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner acknowledges receipt of the amendment after final on 4/21/05. Currently, claims 1-20 and 45-51 are pending.

The final office action issued on 11/22/04 is withdrawn due to the mistakenly provided the publication date of the PS2 Manual submitted by the applicant. A new final office action is addressed as following:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-20 and 45-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sano (US patent No. 6,309,301) in view of Shih et al (US 2003/0227473).
- a. As per claim 1, Sano discloses a game console comprising a memory coupled to a processor (Fig. 6; col. 5, line 67; and col. 6, lines 1-4); a console application configured to allow selection of audio tracks (col. 13, lines 29-31). Sano does not explicitly disclose creating a soundtrack. However, Shih discloses creating a plurality of sound files containing selected audio tracks

Art Unit: 3713

(paragraphs 0004-0005 and 0010), and the concept of allowing a user to create a custom play list containing selected audio tracks would have been well known concept to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the console application of Sano such that the console application of Sano is capable of creating a soundtrack containing the selected audio tracks in order to facilitate selection of specific list of audio tracks.

Page 3

- b. As per claim 2, and 4-10, Sano discloses a hard disk drive (col. 11, line 23). Further, implementing a hard disk drive to a game console using a CD, DVD, game disc as a storage medium, retrieving audio data from an online source and storing soundtrack in a memory as a WMA file, and retrieving audio tracks from audio source would have been well known to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement a well known hard disk drive to the game console of Sano in order to facilitate storing and retrieving data to the game console.
- c. As per claim 3, Sano discloses playing soundtracks through the game console (col. 8, lines 42-46).
- d. As per claim 11-13, Sano discloses associating the soundtrack with the game (col. 13, lines 29-31). Further, outputting a soundtrack associated with a

Art Unit: 3713

specific user who shares a device with a plurality of users and listing all available soundtracks would have been well known.

Page 4

- e. As per claim 14 and 18, refer to discussion in claim 1 above. Further, Sano discloses a second user interface to facilitate playback of soundtracks (col. 5, lines 6-8). Sano does not explicitly disclose a first user interface. However, Shih discloses a first user interface to facilitate selection of stored audio tracks (paragraphs 0010 and 0048). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the first user interface to the game console of Sano in order to allow the player to select a specific favorite soundtrack.
- f. As per claim 15-17, refer to discussion in claim 10-12 above.
- g. As per claim 19-20, refer to discussion in claim 13 above.
- h. As per claim 45, associating a name with a created soundtrack would have been well known to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.
- i. As per claim 46-48, refer to discussion in claims 1 and 14-15 above.
- j. As per claim 49, Sano discloses identifying audio tracks in the game console (col. 8, lines 35-39).
- k. As per claim 50-51, identifying audio tracks from an online source or a plurality of audio sources would have been well known.

Art Unit: 3713

Page 5

3. Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on October 14, 2004 prompted the new ground of rejection presented in this Office action.

Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 609(B)(2)(i).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action. Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

Box AF:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for formal communications; please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE")

Art Unit: 3713

Page 6

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Plaza II,

Arlington, VA Second Floor (Receptionist).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications

from the examiner should be directed to Kim Nguyen whose telephone number

is (571) 272-4441. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-

Thursday from 8:30AM to 5:00PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Xuan Thai, can be reached on (571) 272-7147. The

central official fax number is (703) 872-9306.

kn

Date: May 5, 2005

Kim Nguyen

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3713